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Introduction

In the first few years of the 2020s, Americans witnessed both record-low and sky-high energy and gasoline prices. The lows followed a trend of increased domestic energy production in the United States under President Donald Trump. Then came the Covid-19 “pandemic” of 2020. The price of gasoline and energy initially plummeted, largely due to the lack of demand during the lockdowns and stay-at-home “emergency” orders decreed by governors across the United States in the Spring of 2020. However, the price dip was only temporary — it was merely the calm before the storm. As the new decade progressed, it quickly became apparent just how powerful and intrusive government can be.
Inflation resulting from the creation of trillions of new dollars by the Federal Reserve in the form of emergency lending during the height of the pandemic, along with record-shattering, bloated Covid-19 stimulus bills; Joe Biden’s decision to suspend and reverse President Trump’s previously approved oil drilling licenses in Alaska; Biden’s cancellation of the Keystone XL pipeline; and Western sanctions on Russian gas and oil following Vladimir Putin’s invasion of Ukraine — these are but a few examples of government actions that have directly resulted in increased food and energy prices.

How could things get any worse? you might ask. Imagine perpetual lockdowns, in which the government orders you to stay at home not under the pretense of a virus and “global health,” but because of the environment and “global warming.”

You and your family must stay at home, according to the fearmongering government authorities and their propagandist news media, in order to “reduce the carbon footprint” and reverse the “ecological damage” caused by human activity. In fact, radical environmentalists were celebrating the drop of carbon emissions due to the Covid-19 lockdowns. In a massive effort to “decarbonize” the planet and have net-zero emissions, industry (including food and energy production) grinds to a halt, travel is heavily restricted to only what is deemed “essential,” and local sustainability boards begin to actively regulate and ration your personal consumption of clean water, food, and energy.

 Everything — you and your family’s ability to wash your dishes, take a shower or bath, flush a toilet, and even drink a glass of clean water — is heavily regulated.
You are perpetually forced to make personal sacrifices in order to save the planet. “We are all in this together,” you are told. Individual liberty is replaced with collective responsibility, and global socialism is substituted for national sovereignty on the road to world government.

Does this dystopian nightmare sound far-fetched? This scenario is not the work of science fiction — it’s the result of something called the 2030 Agenda. In the pages ahead, you will learn what the 2030 Agenda and “sustainable development” are, how they affect you, and what you can do about them.

What you are about to read may sound too unbelievable to be true, but it is all true and documented. You are encouraged to verify what you see and thereby learn more about the 2030 Agenda.
The 2030 Agenda, sometimes referred to as Agenda 2030, is the name of the United Nations program for so-called sustainable development. It is based on the original UN Agenda 21 program that was unveiled at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (also known as the “Earth Summit”), held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, from June 3 to 14, 1992. It was there that 178 world leaders, including then-U.S. President George H. W. Bush, signed Agenda 21. But what exactly is sustainable development? According to the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO):

Sustainable development is the overarching paradigm of the United Nations. The concept of sustainable development was described by the 1987 Brundtland Commission Report as “development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.”

The definition of “sustainable development” may not sound all that bad — until you delve further to uncover the individuals behind it. The Brundtland Commission was named after its chairwoman, Gro Harlem Brundtland, who at the time was beginning her tenure as vice president of the Socialist International (1986 to 1999). Using the
framework provided by her commission, the United Nations seeks to implement in your local town or city its collectivist and globalist (i.e., pro-one-world government) Agenda 2030.

Touted as a solution to everything from poverty to global warming, the 2030 Agenda is nothing more than a scheme to empower a global governing body at the expense of your individual liberty. At the 1992 UN Earth Summit, Maurice Strong, the secretary-general of the summit, declared:

Current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class — involving high meat intake, use of fossil fuels, appliances, home and work air conditioning, and suburban housing — are not sustainable.
Under the guise of sustainable development, the United Nations seeks to go after your very way of life. It was at the Earth Summit in 1992 that the UN originally unveiled its official 383-page report and program, titled *Agenda 21: The United Nations Programme of Action From Rio*.

According to this UN report, sustainable development will severely curtail your individual liberty as a citizen to run your own community through your elected officials, as Agenda 21 intervenes in both your local community and your personal life. Every aspect of your life will be affected. According to the book *Agenda 21: The Earth Summit Strategy to Save Our Planet*, published in 1993, Agenda 21 proposes “an array of actions which are intended to be implemented by every person on Earth.”

The book goes on to emphasize the ominous view of its desired relationship between Agenda 21 and every individual citizen, such as you:

> Effective execution of Agenda 21 will require a profound reorientation of all human society, unlike anything the world has ever experienced — a major shift in the priorities of both governments and individuals and an unprecedented redeployment of human and financial resources. This shift will demand that a concern for the environmental consequences of every human action be integrated into individual and collective decision-making at every level.

Agenda 21 leaves no stone unturned and no person outside of its reach. In order to accomplish its goals of sustainable
development and to force you to reduce your “carbon footprint,” Agenda 21 seeks to control you and your life. Once implemented, Agenda 21 will affect you in the following ways:

- Eliminating your right to private ownership (property rights).
- Forbidding you from entering woodland and wetland areas.
- Punishing you with higher prices for goods and services.
- Restricting your civil liberties.
- Restricting the number of children you may have.
- Restricting the amount of trash or waste you may dispose of.
- Restricting the amount of water you may use.
- Telling you how much to harvest on your farm or land.
- Forcing you to participate in community projects.
- Diminishing your individual rights in favor of community rights.

We will address some of these points in the pages ahead. But how, if at all, is Agenda 21 different from the 2030 Agenda? As mentioned before, Agenda 21 was the name of the original 383-page report for the UN’s program for
sustainable development that came out of the 1992 Earth Summit. Agenda 21 was reaffirmed 10 years later at the World Summit on Sustainable Development, held in Johannesburg, South Africa, from August 26 to September 4, 2002.

The UN unveiled the 2030 Agenda, officially titled *Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*, at the United Nations Sustainable Development Summit, held at UN headquarters in New York City on September 25, 2015. *Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development* essentially synthesized the overall objectives of Agenda 21 and the eight Millennium Development Goals agreed on by the 189 UN member-states in September 2000 into a set of 17 “Sustainable Development Goals” with 169 targets to be achieved by 2030.

At 383 pages, Agenda 21 is much more comprehensive in its “plan of action” than the 35-page 2030 Agenda. In essence, however, Agenda 21 and the 2030 Agenda are virtually the same. According to Tom DeWeese, author, JBS speaker, and the founder and president of the American Policy Center:

The 2030 Agenda is nothing more than a reboot of Agenda 21. The UN uses such updates of plans to keep their people excited and involved. The 2030 Agenda simply goes in to more detail as to how and what they intend to do. Remember, Agenda 21 was introduced as the “comprehensive blueprint for the reorganization of human society.” The 2030 Agenda gives more detail on how that is to be done, along with providing a more specific date for its full
implementation. In reality, there’s nothing new here. *It’s still Agenda 21!* (Bold and italics in original).

On September 25, 2015, *all* 193 member-countries of the UN General Assembly — including the despotic and totalitarian regimes of Communist China, Russia, Belarus, Laos, Vietnam, North Korea, Cuba, Venezuela, and Iran — adopted the 35-page *Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development* as Assembly Resolution 70/1. At the opening of the UN Sustainable Development Summit, then-UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-moon said of the new 2030 Agenda:

The new agenda is a promise by leaders to all people everywhere. It is an agenda for people, to end poverty in all its forms — an agenda for the planet, our common home.
What exactly is this new agenda? One need only read the “Declaration” section of the resolution to realize that its first priority and objective is economic. That section, which reads like a manifesto for global socialism, states in part:

On behalf of the peoples we serve, we have adopted a historic decision on a comprehensive, far-reaching and people-centred set of universal and transformative Goals and targets. We commit ourselves to working tirelessly for the full implementation of this Agenda by 2030. We recognize that eradicating poverty in all its forms and dimensions, including extreme poverty, is the greatest global challenge and an indispensable requirement for sustainable development. We are committed to achieving sustainable development in its three dimensions — economic, social and environmental — in a balanced and integrated manner. We will also build upon the achievements of the Millennium Development Goals and seek to address their unfinished business.

We resolve, between now and 2030, to end poverty and hunger everywhere; to combat inequalities within and among countries; to build peaceful, just and inclusive societies; to protect human rights and promote gender equality and the empowerment of women and girls; and to ensure the lasting protection of the planet and its natural resources. [Emphasis added throughout.]
On page 6, the document goes on to boast: “This is an Agenda of unprecedented scope and significance…. Never before have world leaders pledged common action and endeavour across such a broad and universal policy agenda.”

Underpinning its Marxist wealth-redistributive agenda, page 8 of the resolution declares:

We will seek to build strong economic foundations for all our countries. Sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth is essential for prosperity. This will only be possible if wealth is shared and income inequality is addressed. [Emphasis added.]

On page 14, the document breaks down the 2030 Agenda into the following 17 “Sustainable Development Goals” (SDGs):

**Goal 1. End poverty in all its forms everywhere**

**Goal 2. End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture**

**Goal 3. Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages**

**Goal 4. Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all**

**Goal 5. Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls**

**Goal 6. Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all**
The UN’s 2030 Agenda is officially broken down into 17 “Sustainable Development Goals,” or SDGs, which serve as the guiding principles for all UN policies and actions.

Goal 7. Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all

Goal 8. Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all

Goal 9. Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation

Goal 10. Reduce inequality within and among countries

Goal 11. Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable
Goal 12. Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns

Goal 13. Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts

Goal 14. Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development

Goal 15. Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss

Goal 16. Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels

Goal 17. Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development

Virtually no area of human activity and no person will be left untouched by the 2030 Agenda. This point is emphatically stated on the website of the United Nations Sustainable Development Group (UNSDG), under “Universal Values.” On the question of “What does this mean for the United Nations?” the UNSDG website declares:

The transformative ambition and universal applicability of the 2030 Agenda challenges the United Nations to remain steadfast in ensuring
that the SDGs are implemented in accordance with international human rights law, eliminating gender inequalities and all forms of discrimination, reaching out to those that are furthest behind first, to ensure that no one is left behind. [Emphasis added.]

After you learn what the UN’s 2030 Agenda has in store for you and your way of life, you will surely hope that you are left behind! Of the full scope and magnitude of the 2030 Agenda, the UNSDG quotes on their website the following guidance note about human rights for UN Resident Coordinators and UN Country Teams:

This is not only a conceptual paradigm shift, but also an operational shift, as it requires us to change the way we work in every way; from how we identify priorities, to how we engage with counterparts; from how we implement activities to how we measure and reports [sic] on achievements. The shift required from the UN is so profound, that it even requires us to reflect on how as a workforce, we are representing the world’s diversity in terms of gender, geography, disability and other aspects. [Emphasis added throughout.]

The 2030 Agenda is redefining how the UN reacts to any and every situation. Sharing wealth, addressing income inequality, and achieving all 17 SDGs, according to UNESCO, “is the overarching paradigm of the United Nations.” In other words, everything that the UN does is for the purpose of fulfilling its collectivist and socialist 2030 Agenda.
As such, the 2030 Agenda has been praised by globalists and communists alike. Then-U.S. President Barack Obama, while speaking before the UN General Assembly on September 27, 2015, applauded the 2030 Agenda as “one of the smartest investments we can make in our own future.” Javier Solana, a member of the Spanish Socialist Workers’ Party and one of the first outright socialists to join the pro-one-world government Trilateral Commission, as well as being the former secretary-general of NATO (1995-1999) and secretary-general of the Western European Union (1999-2009), touted the 2030 Agenda as the next “Great Leap Forward.” The first “Great Leap Forward” was instituted by Mao Tse-tung and the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) between 1958 and 1962, and claimed the lives of well over 45 million Chinese people. Not surprisingly, Communist China is fully on board with the UN’s 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.
Communist China at the Forefront

In his remarks before the 19th National Congress of the Communist Party of China, on October 18, 2017, the mass-murdering dictator Xi Jinping proclaimed: “We must pursue a model of sustainable development featuring increased production, higher living standards, and healthy ecosystems.”

In the same speech, Xi further declared:

Comrades, unlocking and developing the productive forces is a fundamental task of socialism. We must inspire creativity and vitality throughout society, and strive to achieve better quality, more efficient, fairer, and more sustainable development.
He went on to make it clear that the Communist Party regime has more than a passive interest in the UN’s goals. Xi foresees China as having a leading role in the UN’s quest for global governance and world government, boldly stating:

China follows the principle of achieving shared growth through discussion and collaboration in engaging in global governance. China stands for democracy in international relations and the equality of all countries, big or small, strong or weak, rich or poor. China supports the United Nations in playing an active role in international affairs, and supports the efforts of other developing countries to increase their representation and strengthen their voice in international affairs. China will continue to play its part as a major and responsible country, take an active part in reforming and developing the global governance system, and keep contributing Chinese wisdom and strength to global governance.

Lest there be any confusion that Xi’s promise that “China stands for democracy in international relations” is somehow a sign that the CCP regime has shed its Marxist-Leninist ideology, Xi also said the following in the same speech: “The thought on socialism with Chinese characteristics for a new era builds on and further enriches Marxism-Leninism…,” further declaring:

Our revolutionary ideals soar beyond the skies. The noble ideal of Communism and the shared ideal of
socialism with Chinese characteristics are our source of strength and political soul as Chinese Communists; they also form the theoretical foundation of Party solidarity and unity.

Our top priority in building the Party through theory is to stay true to our ideals and convictions. We should make all Party members keep firmly in mind the Party’s purpose, have unwavering convictions as Communists, resolve the fundamental issue of the worldview, outlook on life, and values we should embrace, and maintain deep belief in and faithfully practice Communism and socialism with Chinese characteristics.

For more about “democracy,” and how the United States is a republic rather than a democracy, we recommend reading our booklet *Republics & Democracies*, written by JBS founder Robert Welch.

China’s commitment to “faithfully practice Communism and socialism” is undeterred and in no way at odds with its promise to help implement the UN’s 2030 Agenda. In his message to the World Economic Forum on January 17, 2022, President Xi declared:

No matter what difficulties may come our way, we must adhere to a people-centered philosophy of development, place development and livelihoods front and center in global macro-policies, realize the UN’s 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, and build greater synergy among existing mechanisms of development cooperation to promote balanced development worldwide.
Based in the Canton of Geneva, Switzerland, the World Economic Forum (WEF), like the UN, is one of the premier international forums pushing the globalist 2030 Agenda. The WEF is also the leading force behind the “Great Reset,” officially the “Great Reset of capitalism.” Just like the UN’s 2030 Agenda, the WEF seeks to implement their so-called “Great Reset” by 2030. And Communist China is also at the forefront of the WEF’s agenda.

According to the WEF’s 50th anniversary “timeline of highlights,” published on their website, WEF founder Klaus Schwab “followed Deng Xiaoping’s early economic reforms with great interest and in 1979, a delegation from the People’s Republic of China participated in the Davos Symposium for the first time.” Deng Xiaoping was responsible for the massacre at Tiananmen Square.
The WEF further notes how Schwab continued to build bridges with the communist regime when he visited mainland China for the first time in 1979, leading a WEF delegation of 20 CEOs from Europe. The WEF describes this visit as the “beginning of a longstanding relationship between the Forum and China,” which led to the creation of both the now-annual “China Business Summit” and, as of 2007, the annual “Summer Davos” conference held in China.

In 2019, Schwab was an invited speaker at the second Belt and Road Forum for International Cooperation conference, held in Beijing. The purpose of the conference was to showcase and promote China’s behemoth “One Belt, One Road” trade agreement, more commonly known as the “Belt and Road.” Schwab praised “Belt and Road” as the cornerstone of a new world order and as a model for sustainable development, proclaiming:
China shoulders special responsibilities. By restructuring the global value chain and playing an increasingly important role here, *China will shape a new world economic order*. Through the “Belt and Road” initiative and the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank and other institutions, China can show to countries around the world that the “Belt and Road” is not only an important initiative, but also contains a wealth of philosophical concepts and can set a glorious future for globalization 4.0. *A model to achieve a more sustainable, more inclusive and multi-party collaboration globalization.* [Emphasis added throughout.]

Similarly, socialist billionaire George Soros said, in an interview with the *Financial Times* on October 23, 2009, “I think you need a new world order that China has to be part of the process of creating it and they have to buy in. They have to own it the same way as, let’s say, the United States owns the Washington consensus, the current order….” (Emphasis added throughout.) Soros and Schwab are essentially two peas in a pod, calling for Communist China to not only be part of, but also shape and ultimately “own” the emerging new world order facilitated by both the “Great Reset” and the 2030 Agenda.
The 2030 Agenda was also touted by globalists and communists as the solution to all of the world’s problems at the World Government Summit held in Saudi Arabia in February 2019. *The New American*’s Alex Newman reported, “Unsurprisingly, the mass-murdering Communist dictatorship enslaving China boasted that it played a ‘crucial role’ in developing the UN agenda.” This wasn’t the first time members of the CCP claimed responsibility for the 2030 Agenda.

In the January 4, 2016 issue of *The New American*, in his article titled “UN Agenda 2030: A Recipe for Global Socialism,” Newman quoted a high-ranking communist Chinese UN diplomat who likewise bragged about China’s “important contributions” to the agenda’s SDGs. Newman reported:

> “China has made important contributions to the global efforts in reaching a fair, inclusive and sustainable post-2015 development agenda,” the regime’s deputy permanent representative to the UN, Wang Min, was quoted as saying in a report by the Communist Chinese news and espionage service Xinhua. “China is also very active in putting forward Chinese proposals.... The agreement includes important proposals by China and many other developing countries in numerous aspects.”

Communist China’s “important contributions” and “crucial role” in developing and promoting the UN’s 2030 Agenda should serve as a wake-up call to anyone who values individualism and individual liberty.
The core ideology underpinning the UN’s 2030 Agenda and “sustainable development” is collectivism. The *Oxford English Dictionary*, second edition (1989), defines collectivism as:

**collectivism** (kəˈlɛktɪvɪz(ə)m).
1. The socialistic theory of the collective ownership or control of all the means of production, and especially of the land, by the whole community or State, i.e., the people collectively, for the benefit of the people as a whole.

It should come as no surprise that Communist China is heavily invested in the 2030 Agenda and eager to promote the 17 SDGs — both communism and “sustainable development” are collectivist.

Simply put, collectivism prioritizes the group, or community, over the individual. Your wants and needs come second, so they don’t interfere with the needs of the whole. This very philosophy underpins both the UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. For example, Article 18, clause 1 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights states:

Everyone shall have the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion.
However, Article 18, clause 3 of the International Covenant goes on to state:

   Freedom to manifest one’s religion or beliefs may be subject only to such limitations as are prescribed by law and are necessary to protect public safety, order, health, or morals or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others.

In other words, not only is your supposed “right” to freedom of thought, conscience, and religion subject, or limited, to whatever the law is, it’s also subordinate to the needs or wants of the group.

   This is contrary to the U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights (the first ten amendments to the Constitution). For example, the First Amendment states:

   Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

The framers of the Constitution intentionally prohibited Congress from making any law that violates an individual’s God-given rights, with no exceptions — period. Once the government starts to make provision for “emergency” exceptions, man is no longer free. This is the case with the UN and its concept of “rights.”
The UN’s International Covenants on Human Rights (the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and its sister document, the Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights) specifically omit an individual’s right to own property or to be protected from arbitrary seizure of his/her property without compensation. As far as the UN is concerned, the private ownership of property is not a human right. This is crucial to a fundamental understanding of “sustainable development,” Agenda 21/2030, and why communists and socialists love it.

Through a campaign of propaganda and playing on the emotions of those actually concerned about the environment, the 2030 Agenda calls for the government to take your land or property and redistribute the resources of the community in order to assure that each person receives a basic or “sustainable” ration.

Unlike the United States, the United Nations does not recognize an individual’s right to property, which makes the entire 2030 Agenda a threat to individual liberty.
Smart Growth

Utilizing a variety of economic incentives, governments will encourage people who live in rural or suburban neighborhoods to move to urban or centralized public-transportation areas. To accomplish this, the 2030 Agenda promotes the concept of “smart growth” — the central planning of how your community will be organized and where you will live.

Goal 11 of the 2030 Agenda’s Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) states:

Goal 11. Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable

Of SDG 11, Tom DeWeese explains:

This is Smart Growth which promises a utopia of families and neighbors playing and working together, riding bikes, walking to work in stress free communities. It really means the end of private property rights, single-family homes, and replaces those with stack and pack high rises where residents are over-taxed and over-regulated, rents are high and individual thoughts and actions are viewed as a threat to the “well-ordered society.”

The 2030 Agenda proposes using high gas prices; longer, convoluted road systems; new tolls; and eminent domain to manipulate migration patterns to get you to live in a city or
other compact metropolitan community, where your ability to travel freely will be limited to public transportation services.

The concept of “Smart Growth” is predicated upon utilizing a multitude of government-induced incentives to encourage individuals to move out of rural or suburban areas into regimented urban areas.
High Gas Prices

The Covid-19 pandemic of 2020 exposed the weaknesses of increased globalization, fragility of global supply chains, and flaws of overreliance on Communist China for the manufacturing of consumer goods. The disruption to the supply lines, in part, contributed to higher prices and the scarcity of certain goods, including the parts to make or repair products. The primary culprit, however, for the increase in prices, especially that of energy and gasoline, was none other than the actions of government.

In the United States, Congress passed the “Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act,” or the “CARES Act,” (S. 3548), at a whopping $2.2 trillion. However, as Larry Kudlow, then director of the White House’s National Economic Council, revealed at the time, it was actually a staggering $6 trillion stimulus package: $2.2 trillion in direct assistance from Congress and an additional $4 trillion in Federal Reserve lending power.

According to The New American, “At a colossal $6 trillion, the stimulus package is equal to roughly 28 percent of the country’s $21.44 trillion gross domestic product (GDP) in 2019, and is by far the largest stimulus in U.S. history.” In the months and year that followed, Congress passed additional stimulus bills related to Covid-19. The result of all this new money created by the Federal Reserve and pumped into the economy was inflation. The massive injection of new money devalued that which was already in circulation — thereby robbing people of their hard-earned...
savings, as it now took more of those dollars to purchase goods and services (such as gas).

On top of that, President Biden’s decisions to shut down the Keystone XL pipeline and to revoke the oil drilling licenses in Alaska that had been approved by President Trump further increased the price of gas. And if that wasn’t painful enough for American consumers, Congress and President Biden imposed sanctions on the purchase and import of natural gas and oil from Russia, in response to Russia’s invasion of Ukraine. Although little Russian gas was sold in the United States, the sanctions imposed by both the U.S. and other Western governments that did purchase it from Russia only put an additional demand on the gas and oil extracted from other oil-producing nations, such as those in the Middle East.
Biden’s decision to cancel the Keystone XL pipeline and oil licenses in Alaska was motivated by his decision to reenter the Paris Agreement. According to the UN, “Implementation of the [Paris] Agreement is also essential for the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals.”

The website of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) secretariat describes the Paris Agreement as “a legally binding international treaty on climate change” and notes that it “was adopted by 196 Parties at COP 21 in Paris, on 12 December 2015 and entered into force on 4 November 2016.” The goal of it, according to the UNFCCC website, is “to limit global warming to well below 2, preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius, compared to pre-industrial levels” and
“to achieve a climate neutral world by mid-century.” They further state that “climate change action needs to be massively increased to achieve the goals of the Paris Agreement,” and claim that by “2030, zero-carbon solutions could be competitive in sectors representing over 70% of global emissions.”

However, all things are not equal in the Paris Agreement. It is much tougher against the U.S. than it is on Communist China. As Arthur R. Thompson, CEO Emeritus of The John Birch Society, explains in his book *Benedict Biden: Water Carrier for the New World Order*:

The Paris Agreement imposes restrictions on industry, farming, and automobiles that are to be implemented in America before being implemented in China. Yet pollution in China was and is more serious than in the United States. Again, the U.S. has steadily reduced
carbon emissions over the last few decades; China has not, even increasing their emissions.

The Paris Agreement would impair American industry — yet another reason why Communist China loves the 2030 Agenda and “sustainable development.” As Thompson further notes:

The requirement is to lower emissions by over 25 percent of 2005 levels by 2025. Contemplate what that would do to American industry and jobs. It would mean cutting back on production if the standards were not met, plus reducing the number of automobiles, limiting the freedom of movement — always the aim of totalitarian governments. In other words, if the industry or automobiles could not comply with the new UN regulations, all would be shut down or seriously restricted.

Restricting people’s mobility is a key component of the Paris Agreement to achieve the 2030 Agenda’s 17 SDGs, which leads us to the manipulation of transportation patterns.
Manipulating Transportation Patterns

The 2030 Agenda seeks to purposely discourage you from driving all together. It plans to achieve this through a combination of high gas prices, environmental-impact energy taxes, new tolls, and rerouting roads by condemning old, convenient short cuts and replacing them with new, longer routes that avoid certain protected areas and wetlands in the name of protecting the environment.

Regardless of whether you are driving to and from work, school, your place of worship, the supermarket, or home, the 2030 Agenda wants to make it so financially difficult for you to travel that you would rather pack up and move into a city, where everything is nearby. You will thus be manipulated into moving, of your own accord, out of rural areas into urban settings, due to high taxes, rising fuel prices, and other general inconveniences.

This will not be done in one fell swoop, but rather incrementally and almost unnoticeably through small amounts of sustainability, until full sustainable development is achieved.
Migrating People From Rural Areas to Cities

Through high gas prices, implementation of the Paris Agreement, and manipulation of transportation patterns, the 2030 Agenda seeks to convince you, out of a desire to save money and avoid other inconveniences, that it would be expedient for you to move to more-developed and urban areas.

The American dream of the beautiful house, big front and back yard, white picket fence, one or two cars in the driveway, and large family is to be replaced with the UN’s 2030 Agenda of living in small, rented urban dwellings, where you are restricted in the amount of goods you are allowed to consume and dispose of, and where you will have no individual liberty to travel as you once pleased.

As rural areas become less populated, they will gradually become off-limits to people, but not to animals and plants, such as weeds. Over time, the plants and animals will move in and take over. Grass will go uncut and grow creeping into the unused sidewalks and abandoned paved roads. Derelict automobiles will turn rusty and become breeding grounds for vibrant plant growth.

Once lively and prosperous rural communities will become designated “open space.”
Open Space: Forbidding Human Access to Land

“Open Space” is defined as any agricultural or natural area void of development. Examples of open space can range from public state or national parks to the back or front yard of your house. In addition to the traditional definition of open space, it can also refer to community areas that, as a result of human neglect, have been reclaimed by nature, such as abandoned dwellings or the before-mentioned rural communities.

Eminent domain will be used to seize and demolish the last remnants of private property in ghost towns or other communities deemed as “not sustainable.” Other, more-sustainable communities will be redeveloped, with specific preselected portions of land reserved for a mixture of residential and commercial development. This is more than just rezoning; it is central planning at the local community level, where unelected redevelopment boards and sustainability consultants will plan the composition of the community and decide what is and is not allowed to be developed on both public and private land.

The Wildlands Project will preserve other open space, such as state and national parks, as well as wetlands, everglades (like those in Florida), lakes, rivers, and deserts, and forbid you from accessing them. The Wildlands Project calls for the creation of a Wildlands Network composed of “Wilderness Reserves & Corridors” — a series of interconnected tracts of land with little
to no human activity for the protection of biodiversity.

Through the implementation of the Wildlands Project, an estimated 50 percent of the nation’s land will become off-limits for humans. Its implementation will further advance the Paris Agreement, the 2030 Agenda, and other “Smart Growth” initiatives pursuant to the SDGs.

Under the guise of protecting “biodiversity,” the Wildlands Network seeks to connect whole tracts of wilderness land and waterways in the U.S. and cut them off from human access. This will hinder human progress and development, and one’s freedom to travel.
Population Control & Indoctrination

The 2030 Agenda calls for a combination of sex education, contraception, and abortions to limit population growth. Under the guise of both promoting “well-being for all at all ages” (SDG 3) and achieving “gender equality and empower[ing] all women and girls” (SDG 5), the 2030 Agenda advocates a policy of so-called “family planning” (a.k.a. population control) and “universal access to sexual and reproductive health” (abortion and contraception, respectively).

On page 16 of *Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*, clause 3.7, under Goal 3, states:

> By 2030, ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health-care services, including for family planning, information and education, and the integration of reproductive health into national strategies and programmes.

In other words, universal access to abortion and the mass slaughter of pre-born babies is a key component of the UN’s 2030 Agenda. And on page 18, clause 5.6, under Goal 5, it affirms that all parties to the agreement must:

> Ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive health and reproductive rights as agreed in accordance with the Programme of Action of
the International Conference on Population and Development and the Beijing Platform for Action and the outcome documents of their review conferences.

These two clauses, 3.7 and 5.6, should be enough to persuade any Christian or person who values the sanctity of pre-born human life to staunchly oppose this satanic UN agenda.

Just like the one-child policy in Communist China, the government, at the behest of the UN, could limit the number of children you are allowed to have. Such coercive practices would lead to a drastic drop in population for future generations.

This idea of population reduction was also emphasized in President Bill Clinton’s Council on Sustainable Development,
designed to develop policy recommendations to implement Agenda 21 in the United States. In 1998, the council’s reports and policy recommendations were condensed into a book titled *Sustainable America: America’s Environment, Economy and Society in the 21st Century*. The book states that: “The United States must have policies and programs that contribute to stabilizing global human population,” and reiterates:

We must move toward stabilization of the U.S. population and a reduced rate of population growth in the United States and the world.

In addition to promoting sex education, the 2030 Agenda also proposes altering school and college curricula to promote smart growth and sustainable development. Goal 4 of the SDGs states: “Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all.” As Tom DeWeese notes on the American Policy Center website, such “lifelong learning is the means to continually apply behavior modification practices to assure we maintain the desired attitudes, values and beliefs to live in a global village.”

The 2030 Agenda seeks to ingrain the “values” and knowledge of sustainable development to students all over the world. According to *Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*, clause 4.7, under SDG 4, states:

By 2030, ensure that all learners acquire the knowledge and skills needed to promote sustainable
development, including, among others, through education for sustainable development and sustainable lifestyles, human rights, gender equality, promotion of a culture of peace and non-violence, global citizenship and appreciation of cultural diversity and of culture’s contribution to sustainable development.

Bill Clinton’s sustainability council called for the same indoctrination to be implemented in America’s schools. In *Sustainable America*, the council declared:

We must also expand the number of curricula, materials, and training opportunities that teach the principles of sustainable development [i.e., collectivism].

Smaller populations educated with lifelong propaganda about sustainable development will likely lead to future generations of Americans more easily manipulated and managed by the government. Such generations will never know or experience the American dream, but will instead be indoctrinated into believing the propaganda of sustainable development. This is what is meant by smart growth — the central government’s planning and controlling of human activity and decreasing the population to more manageable, or “sustainable,” numbers.

Furthermore, under SDG 10 to “Reduce inequality within and among countries,” clause 10.7 requires all nations to:

Facilitate orderly, safe, regular and responsible migration and mobility of people, including through
the implementation of planned and well-managed migration policies.

This explains why U.S. advocates of Agenda 21/2030 and sustainable development — such as George H. W. Bush, Bill Clinton, Al Gore, Barack Obama, Hillary Clinton, John Kerry, Joe Biden, Kamala Harris, Antony Blinken, and Gavin Newsom — promote open borders, oppose construction of the wall on the U.S.-Mexico border, and advocate a so-called “path to citizenship” (amnesty) for “Dreamers” and the millions of other illegal migrants in the United States. Imagine how this combination of “family planning” (population control), sustainable development indoctrination in the schools, and mass migration would drastically alter the voting patterns in your community and throughout the country. Your vote will be diluted by the new voting blocs migrating to your community who may not share your values and beliefs.

Advocates of Agenda 21/2030 do not share Americans’ affinity for securing their country’s national borders. The UN’s 2030 Agenda ultimately seeks a world with open borders and a free flow of migrants.
Abolition of Private Property

In May 2011, at the “You Choose Contra Costa County Forum,” which was designed as an information workshop for the people of Contra Costa County, California, to learn about and support sustainable development in the San Francisco Bay Area, an attendee did not understand what was meant by “preserving open space.” She asked, “are you talking about government open space or private open space, people’s private property like eminent domain?”

The sustainability spokesman replied, “It’s both” public and private land. Another woman asked if this meant “the government being able to take over [a] person’s property?” The spokesman avoided the question, saying only, “This is not a policy learning session; this is about learning what’s important to you.” Although he may not have been comfortable revealing the public policy that would need to be implemented to achieve the goal of preserving “open space,” other UN and pro-sustainable development documents are not afraid to disclose what they really think about property rights — your right to private ownership.

“Section 11.2.3.1.3 Property rights and the use of biological resources” of Global Biodiversity Assessment (1996), published for the United Nations Environment Programme, states the following about property rights:

Property rights are not absolute and unchanging, but rather a complex, dynamic and shifting relationship between two or more parties, over space and time.
The 2030 Agenda’s hostile view toward private property can be traced back to the 1976 United Nations Conference on Human Settlement, held in Vancouver, Canada. Under “Section D. Land” of the Report of Habitat, which came out of the conference, the preamble reads as follows with regard to the private ownership of land:

Land, because of its unique nature and the crucial role it plays in human settlements, cannot be treated as an ordinary asset, controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and inefficiencies of the market. Private land ownership is also a principal instrument of the accumulation and concentration of wealth and therefore contributes to social injustice; if unchecked, it may become a major obstacle in the planning and implementation of development schemes.
Reading as though it could have been published in the Soviet Union or Communist China, the UN document seeks to abolish private property ownership.

Abolition of private property comes straight out of *The Communist Manifesto*, written by Karl Marx and Frederick Engels in 1848. The first of the 10 planks of *The Communist Manifesto* states:

1. Abolition of property in land and application of all rents of land to public purposes.

In chapter 2 of the *Manifesto*, Marx wrote, “The theory of the Communists may be summed up in the single sentence: Abolition of private property.” Marx’s declaration to abolish private property fits perfectly with the UN’s contempt for it and with the objectives of the Great Reset. As mentioned above, the Great Reset is the name of the World Economic Forum’s scheme to radically reorganize the global economy and society — also by the year 2030, coinciding with the timetable of the UN’s 2030 Agenda. It has many of the socialist hallmarks of the 2030 Agenda, including a disdain for private property rights. Compare what Marx wrote about property to the following excerpt from an article titled “Here’s how life could change in my city by the year 2030,” published on the WEF’s website on November 11, 2016:

Welcome to the year 2030. Welcome to my city — or should I say, “our city”. I don’t own anything. I don’t own a car. I don’t own a house. I don’t own any appliances or any clothes.
It might seem odd to you, but it makes perfect sense for us in this city. Everything you considered a product, has now become a service. We have access to transportation, accommodation, food and all the things we need in our daily lives. One by one all these things became free, so it ended up not making sense for us to own much.

This vignette of how the WEF envisions life in the year 2030 perfectly illustrates the objectives of the UN’s 2030 Agenda. Just like Marx and *The Communist Manifesto*, the WEF, Great Reset, UN, and 2030 Agenda all envision a utopian society where the ownership of private property no longer exists.

In fact, a promotional video about the Great Reset produced by the WEF specifically declares, “You’ll own nothing. And you’ll be happy.” Anything and everything you want or need
will supposedly be provided to you as a service of the state. The promises of today’s globalists are merely a rehash of those of yesterday’s communists.

For example, in Soviet Russia, Vladimir Lenin and his Bolsheviks galvanized the people with the promise of “Peace, Land, and Bread!” And in mainland China, the Communists popularized the slogan “Land to the tiller.” In the case of both Russia and China, private farms were seized and converted into collective farms. However, once the farms were collectivized, the utopian promises morphed into a dystopian reality.
There is perhaps no right more essential to individual liberty and the foundation of the American Republic than the private ownership of property. George Mason included property rights in the Virginia Declaration of Rights (1776), which states:

Section 1. That all men are by nature equally free and independent and have certain inherent rights, of which, when they enter into a state of society, they cannot, by any compact, deprive or divest their posterity; namely, the enjoyment of life and liberty, with the means of acquiring and possessing property, and pursuing and obtaining happiness and safety.

Section 6. ... That all men ... have the right of suffrage and cannot be taxed or deprived of their property for public uses without their own consent.... [Emphasis added throughout.]

James Madison, regarded as the “father of the Constitution,” likewise revered property rights so highly that he included them in the Bill of Rights. According to the Fifth Amendment:

No person shall be ... deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation. [Emphasis added.]
On the importance of property rights, Madison said the following in a speech he delivered before the Virginia State Convention of 1829:

It is sufficiently obvious, that persons and property are the two great subjects on which Governments are to act; and that the rights of persons, and the rights of property, are the objects, for the protection of which Government was instituted. These rights cannot well be separated. The personal right to acquire property, which is a natural right, gives to property when acquired a right to protection as a social right.

Likewise, George Washington once said, “Private property and freedom are inseparable.” It is clear that the private
ownership of property is both indispensable to and inseparable from liberty. “Property must be secured, or liberty cannot exist,” John Adams said.

The primary purpose of government is to protect your God-given rights, including your right to property. In his Second Treatise of Government (1689), John Locke, the enlightened English philosopher whose writings influenced many of the beliefs of the Founding Fathers, advanced the notion that the sole purpose for the existence of governments was for the preservation of man and his property. Locke wrote:

The great and chief end, therefore, of men’s uniting into commonwealths, and putting themselves under government, is the preservation of their property.

Even the Creator of the universe recognizes a person’s right to property. The eighth commandment in the Bible, in Exodus 20:13, says: “Thou shalt not steal.” How can one break this commandment if no individual owns any property?

The implementation of the UN’s 2030 Agenda boils down to theft and the loss of your individual liberty. Every aspect of your life will be under the control of the UN through the confiscation of your land and elimination of your property rights. So, which will it be: sustainable development, or individual liberty? The answer should be quite simple: Choose Freedom — STOP AGENDA 2030.
What Must Be Done to Stop Agenda 2030

While the 2030 Agenda for sustainable development originated at the international level with the United Nations, we have seen it also creep in at local, state, and national levels.

Back in the early 2010s, one of the leading nongovernmental organizations pushing sustainable development and Agenda 21 at the local level was ICLEI. It was founded in 1990 as the “International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives,” at the World Congress of Local Governments for a Sustainable Future held at the United Nations in New York City. The organization continues to operate today as ICLEI – Local Governments for Sustainability, based out of its World Secretariat headquarters in Bonn, Germany. In the “About us” section of their website, iclei.org, they claim:

ICLEI — Local Governments for Sustainability is a global network of more than 2500 local and regional governments committed to sustainable urban development. Active in 125+ countries, we influence sustainability policy and drive local action for low emission, nature-based, equitable, resilient and circular development.

However, ICLEI is no longer as influential as it was a decade ago, especially in the United States. Of their “global network of more than 2500 local and regional governments
committed to sustainable urban development,” a mere 46 towns and cities in the U.S. were officially listed as ICLEI members on their website as of late April 2022. In fact, 38 of the 46 listed are in California alone. This is a far cry from the hundreds of ICLEI members in the U.S. a decade earlier, which The John Birch Society exposed in our original booklet *Agenda 21 and You* (2011) and 20-minute documentary *Agenda 21: How Will It Affect You?* (2012). This great reduction of U.S. governments participating in ICLEI is a testimony to the tremendous influence of The John Birch Society in educating and warning others about the dangers of sustainable development.

To see if your local town or city is an ICLEI member, visit https://iclei.org/. Once on their homepage, hover your mouse cursor over the tab labeled “Our Network,” located on the top center of the page. Once your mouse is over the tab, a drop box with the word “Members” should appear. Click on “Members.” This should redirect you to ICLEI’s “Our Members” page, and the “Search Our Members” tab in the center of the page will take you to a page (https://iclei.org/members-search/) where you can search for your town or city.

If your town or city is listed, work with your chapter leader and JBS field coordinator to ramp up education efforts in your town or city to officially withdraw your local government’s membership in ICLEI. However, if your local community is not an ICLEI member, do not celebrate just yet. Even if it isn’t an official member, it is quite possible that your local government may still have in place an active sustainable development plan or sustainability coordinator, and be working with other redevelopment
agencies advancing the 2030 Agenda. Finding this out is crucial, and then stopping it is imperative.

In addition to local sustainability redevelopment plans, your state legislature may also be working to implement the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. For example, in 2014 Hawaii launched the Aloha+ Challenge outlining “six ambitious environmental and sustainability goals to be achieved by 2030,” according to Governor David Ige. In 2016, Governor Ige implemented the Sustainable Hawaii Initiative of 2016, which, according to him, “set forth quantifiable goals and timetables relating to local food production, biosecurity, watersheds, nearshore ocean waters and renewable energy.”

On November 30, 2018, Governor Ige issued Executive Order No. 18-06, which declared in part:

**WHEREAS,** consistent with the Hawaii Commitments to the World Conservation Congress in 2016, worldwide calls to action to end poverty, protect the planet and ensure peace and prosperity have been evidenced by the Paris Climate Agreement and the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development which took place in Rio de Janeiro [also known as the Rio+20 or Earth Summit 2012, which was a follow-up to the original 1992 Earth Summit] …

**NOW THEREFORE, I, DAVID Y. IGE,** governor of the State of Hawaii, pursuant to the powers granted to me pursuant to the Constitution and applicable laws, hereby authorize, direct and
order that all departments of the State of Hawaii, to the extent practicable, implement practices and take action to assist the State in achieving the following seventeen goals by the year 2030….

Governor Ige went on to list Hawaii’s own set of 17 sustainability goals, which are in line with the official 17 SDGs from the UN’s 2030 Agenda. On March 9, 2021, acting in pursuance of the governor’s executive order, every member of the Hawaii Senate, including the state’s one and only Republican state senator, voted to pass Senate Bill No. 694, the “Hawaii State Planning Act.” Specifically affirming the 2030 Agenda and the 17 SDGs, the bill states:
The sustainable development goals, otherwise known as the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, were born at the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development in Rio de Janeiro in 2012, came into effect in 2015, and are a universal call to action to end poverty, protect the planet, and ensure that all people enjoy peace and prosperity. The seventeen sustainable development goals are interconnected and work in the spirit of partnership and pragmatism to make the right choices now to improve life, in a sustainable way, for future generations. The sustainable development goals provide a clear framework for action to guide countries in accordance with their own priorities and the environmental challenges of the world at large. They tackle the root causes of poverty and unite individuals to make a positive change for both people and planet.

Further on, under Section 2, the bill declares:

In pursuit of the State’s sustainability goals, and notwithstanding any law to the contrary, all state agencies, to the extent practicable, shall take action to assist the State in achieving the following sustainable development goals and indicators by 2030 unless otherwise indicated in this section....

Hawaii is already implementing the 2030 Agenda and codifying it into state law. And while you may not live in that state, it is usually the first state — along with California
— to push radical socialist policies before they begin to gradually manifest in other states or by action of the federal government. If you live in either California or Hawaii, it is imperative that you educate others about the dangers of the UN program and begin working to roll back those efforts in your state.

If you live in a state other than California and Hawaii, check to see if your state government has any sustainable development policies in place, whether or not they emanate from the legislature or the governor’s office. Oftentimes, such policies may creep in from your state’s equivalent of the EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), BLM (Bureau of Land Management), or DNR (Department of Natural Resources), or a similar state agency, bureau, or department.
Furthermore, encourage state lawmakers *not to take* any federal funding that is part of or tied to any federal law, regulation, executive order, program, or initiative aimed at reducing carbon emissions and/or promoting sustainable development. The federal government often uses federal funds as a way to coerce states into going along with their unconstitutional policies. Let’s say your state needs money to repair existing roads and bridges or to build new ones, and decides to take this money from Washington. “Oh wait, before we send that check in the mail, lest Uncle Sam cut you off, here’s what you have to do….” Then comes the list of requirements that must be fulfilled in order to keep the money flowing. However, the most effective way state legislators can rein in the federal government is simply by refusing to take the money they send; the best way to cut the strings attached to those funds is simply by not accepting the funds in the first place. State governments must shed their financial dependence on Washington. A dependent state is a controlled state.

Even if your local and state governments are not promoting the 2030 Agenda or sustainable development policies, there is still much that you can and should do with regard to the federal government.

**First, stop the enforcement of unconstitutional international treaties.** The UN’s 2030 Agenda was officially adopted as UN Assembly Resolution 70/1, titled *Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*, by 193 parties, including the United States, at the UN General Assembly on September 25, 2015. Officially, it is *not* a treaty, nor has the U.S. Senate ratified it.
Therefore, it has *no legal authority* in the U.S. Anyone who claims otherwise or acts as though it does is lying and doing the bidding of the UN.

Unlike Assembly Resolution 70/1, the Paris Agreement, which seeks to fulfill the SDGs, *is* a treaty. The website for the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) secretariat officially describes the Paris Agreement as “a legally binding international treaty on climate change.”

However, the treaty has *not* been ratified by the Senate, which is what the Constitution requires if the United States were to abide by it (and assuming its provisions were not unconstitutional). Requiring Senate ratification was conveniently omitted by U.S. Secretary of State Antony J. Blinken when he put forward a press statement on February 19, 2021 announcing, “The United States Officially Rejoins the Paris Agreement.”

“On January 20, on his first day in office, President Biden signed the instrument to bring the United States back into the Paris Agreement,” the press statement begins. “Per the terms of the Agreement, the United States officially becomes a Party again today.” Nowhere in the statement is the requirement for Senate ratification mentioned. It is as though since President Biden signed the United States’ reentry into the agreement, we are to blindly and legally abide by it.

This is totally unconstitutional. Regardless of the president’s position on the treaty, the federal government cannot legally enforce it — nor should it be allowed to act and proceed as though it is already binding. It is essential that we keep pressure on the Senate to *never ratify* the Paris
Agreement. Additionally, we must educate and encourage every elected member of both the House of Representatives and the Senate to oppose any related measures from the executive branch.

But even if the Senate were to ratify the Paris Agreement and implement its numerous unconstitutional sustainability provisions, it is still legally unenforceable according to the Constitution. Article VI of the U.S. Constitution specifically states:

This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land. [Emphasis added.]

Article VI does not give blanket approval for any and all treaties. All laws, including those implementing treaty provisions, must be made “in Pursuance” of the Constitution, meaning that even international treaties ratified by the Senate are subject to constitutional limitations. Otherwise, we wouldn’t even have the Constitution anymore; it would have been overridden by the first unconstitutional treaty provision ratified by the Senate. Thomas Jefferson even affirmed this fact. On September 7, 1803, in a letter to U.S. Senator Wilson Cary Nicholas of Virginia, then-President Jefferson wrote:

I say the same as to the opinion of those who consider the grant of the treaty making power as boundless. If it is, then we have no constitution.
Simply put, treaties do not trump the Constitution.

Second, stop the passage of unconstitutional legislation that promotes radical environmentalist policies that conform with the Paris Agreement or other UN environmentalist treaties and programs. For example, in the 2020 presidential election, Joe Biden campaigned on “Build Back Better.” This was not merely a bad slogan contrived by a public relations firm hired to see what would most resonate with voters. Rather, it came directly from the UN. Go to any online search engine and type in the query box “Build Back Better” and “United Nations.” According to Google.com on August 23, 2022, about 542,000 results were found.

Among the first results was a PDF of a 46-page report from the United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNISDR), titled Build Back Better in recovery, rehabilitation, and reconstruction (2017). On page 6, under the header “Terminology,” the very first definition reads:

**Build Back Better (BBB):** The use of the recovery, rehabilitation and reconstruction phases after a disaster to increase the resilience of nations and communities through integrating disaster risk reduction measures into the restoration of physical infrastructure and societal systems, and into the revitalization of livelihoods, economies and the environment (United Nations General Assembly, 2016).

Build Back Better is the name of the UN’s recovery program for a disaster-torn area in which the reconstruction phase
aligns with the “principles of sustainable development” and “build back better,” in order to “avoid or reduce future disaster risk,” according to the report. In fact, the words “sustainable” and “sustainability” are peppered a total of 17 times throughout the UNISDR’s Build Back Better report.

The UN is using the Covid-19 pandemic as the excuse to rebuild the world based on the 2030 Agenda’s Sustainable Development Goals. An article titled “A formidable compass: How the SDGs can guide us to build back better,” posted on the website of the United Nations Global Compact, states: “Governments around the world now face the dual task of recovering from the pandemic while maintaining focus on the Global Goals.”

That includes the U.S. government, with “Build Back Better” also being the name of President Biden’s Covid-19
relief and infrastructure plan. The “Build Back Better Act” was first introduced in Congress by Representative John A. Yarmuth (D-Ky.). As we pointed out in our federal legislative alert on JBS.org, the bill includes:

- By 2030, mandating a 50-percent reduction in carbon emissions, along with 80 percent “clean” energy.

- $555 billion in “climate change” spending.

- Multiple other “climate change” provisions, including tax incentives, a “Civilian Climate Corps” employing up to 1.5 million Marxist activists, weatherizing and electrifying buildings, canceling oil leasing in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, and other measures to rig the U.S. economy in favor of “green” energy.
- A “carbon tariff” that will essentially sanction countries that don’t conform to the “green” agenda.

- Promoting a radical pro-abortion agenda.

- Enforcement of Biden’s vaccine mandates by imposing fines of up to $700,000 on noncomplying businesses.

- $3 billion for “tree equity,” and millions for “bias training” and the promotion of gender identity.

- A major expansion of Medicare and Medicaid, possibly including lowering the eligibility age.

- Paid family and medical leave, and expanding other social programs.

- Universal pre-K, which is part of the Left’s plan to subvert the family.

- “Free” community college, as well as giveaways to leftist colleges by requiring preschool teachers to have college degrees.

- A significant funding increase for the IRS to enable it to take even more of Americans’ income than it already does.

- Giving the IRS the power to track all bank transactions over $600, something that would severely violate Americans’ privacy and make it easier for the IRS to be weaponized against conservatives.

- Potentially a national plastic tax and a debt “ceiling” increase.
- Increasing taxes on Americans making over $50,000 a year; raising the corporate tax to a level higher than Communist China’s; and establishing a corporate minimum tax.

- Enacting portions of the PRO Act, which would entrench the power of labor unions over businesses and workers.

- Dramatically expanding mass migration, possibly with amnesty for over seven million illegal aliens. Although the Senate parliamentarian struck down two proposals to the bill adding mass amnesty for illegal aliens, Democrats are continuing their attempts to insert similar measures, such as ending all deportations.

- Other measures to accelerate mass migration, including possibly funding to resettle tens of thousands of refugees from Afghanistan, along with measures allowing Big Tech companies to replace American graduates with foreign workers.

- Give $82 billion in child tax credits to illegal aliens in the U.S.

As of July 2022, this Build Back Better Act (H.R. 5376) had been passed by the House, but not by the Senate. The enactment of these radical provisions would greatly advance the implementation of the Paris Agreement and the UN’s 2030 Agenda in America. If H.R. 5376 has not yet been passed, then it is imperative that all Americans continue to call,
email, write letters to, and/or visit their U.S. representatives and senators and urge them to reject the “Build Back Better Act,” as well as any other bill that advances the 2030 Agenda.

Third, above all, encourage Congress to Get US Out! of the United Nations and to get the United Nations out of the United States. It is imperative that Congress introduce and pass the American Sovereignty Restoration Act, which would officially terminate U.S. membership in the United Nations and all of its various alphabet soup agencies. Specifically, the bill would repeal the United Nations Participation Act of 1945, by which the United States officially became a UN member-nation.

This bill needs to be introduced and passed in every Congress until it is ultimately signed into law. Cutting U.S. foreign aid and funding to the UN is a start, but it is no substitute for a total withdrawal from the wannabe one-world government
body. Considering the fact that the UN was founded mostly by communists and Soviet agents and is today led by tin-
pot dictators, Islamist autocrats, socialists, and communists, 
including many from China, it would behoove the United 
States to withdraw from the UN immediately.

“Get US Out!” has been one of the most recognized 
slogans of The John Birch Society for over 60 years. During 
all of this time, The John Birch Society has understood and 
warned others about the danger of the United Nations and its 
threat to our independence and national sovereignty.

For more information about Agenda 2030, and how to 
help keep your local community free, go to https://jbs.org/ 
agenda2030/.

The battle to restore American liberty and independence 
requires a well-educated and activated electorate. We invite 
you to join The John Birch Society to work together with 
like-minded patriots, passionate about individual liberty 
and American sovereignty, in order to better organize to 
expose and stop the globalist and communist 2030 Agenda. 
To get involved, visit us at https://jbs.org/join/ or call 
1-800-JBS-USA1.
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Agenda 2030 and You
Building on the original Agenda 21 and You booklet, this new booklet covers the progression to Agenda 2030. It includes Agenda 2030’s various initiatives; how it is a catalyst for world government; the rise of ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) scores and how they affect you; federal land takeovers; and what patriots must do to stop this assault on our constitutional Republic. (2022, 30pp, 1-9/$3.95ea; 10-24/$3.00ea; 25-49/$2.50ea; 50-99/$2.00ea; 100+/+$0.70ea)

How Does Agenda 2030 Affect You? — Pamphlet
“What the United Nations does doesn’t affect me.” Think again. Under the guise of “sustainable development” and “climate change,” the UN’s 2030 Agenda seeks to transform and control every aspect of human activity, including your life! This updated trifold pamphlet offers a general overview of how Agenda 2030 will affect you, your property, and your pocket book. (4-color trifold, 2022ed, 1-99/$0.25ea; 100-499/$0.20ea; 500+/$0.15ea)

The UN’s Agenda 2030: Marxist Stealth Plan for World Government
This book is an important expose of what is really behind the environmental movement: power for an elite who mean to rule us, not to save the Earth. (2021ed, 116pp, 1-11/$7.95ea; 12-23/$5.95ea; 24-79/$3.95ea; 80+/$2.95ea)

Benedict Biden: Water Carrier for the New World Order
This book reveals Biden’s startling agenda in his own words and actions — his program for making America over into only a cog in the “New World Order” (his words, not ours) — and explains what can be done about it. (2022, 145pp, pb, 1-11/$7.95; 12-23/$5.95; 24-63/$3.95; 64+/$2.95)

Agenda 21: How Will It Affect You & Your Business — DVD
Geared toward business owners and executive management, this is a shortened version of the popular DVD “Agenda 21: How Will It Affect You?” JBS CEO Arthur R. Thompson introduces the topic and offers tips at the end. (2013, 13min, 1-10/$1.00ea; 11-20/$0.90ea; 21-49/$0.80ea; 50-99/$0.75ea; 100-999/$0.70ea; 1,000+/$0.64ea)
Inconvenient Facts: The Science That Al Gore Doesn’t Want You to Know
This autographed book is a common-sense rebuttal to the “climate change” zealots’ arguments that humanity is to blame for the change in the weather and the Earth’s climatic ills. (2017, 158pp, pb, 1/$19.95ea; 2+/17.95ea) BKIF

Sustainable: The War on Free Enterprise, Private Property and Individuals
Sustainable: The War on Free Enterprise, Private Property and Individuals describes in detail the process being used at every level of government to reorganize our society using the excuse of environmental protection. (2018, 206pp, pb, 1/$19.95ea; 2-9/$18.95ea; 10+/18.45ea) BKSWFE

Hot Talk, Cold Science: Global Warming’s Unfinished Debate
This revised and expanded third edition forms the capstone of the distinguished astrophysicist Dr. S. Fred Singer’s hard scientific look at climate change. Singer explores the inaccuracies in historical climate data and the failures of climate models, as well as the impact of solar variability, clouds, ocean currents, and sea levels on global climate — plus factors that could mitigate any human impact on world climate. (2021, 234pp, hb, $26.95ea) BKHTCS

Agenda 21: How Will It Affect You? — DVD
Sustaining the environment is something we all have a vested interest in, and it has led us down the road to environmentalism. However, when environmentalism came to a fork in the road, the rhetoric took one route and the agenda took another.
Sleeved DVD (2012, 21min, 1-10/$1.00ea; 11-20/$0.90ea; 21-49/$0.80ea; 50-99/$0.75ea; 100-999/$0.70ea; 1,000+/$/0.64ea) DVDA21
Cased DVD (2012, 21min, 1-9/$5.95ea; 10-24/$5.45ea; 25+/$/4.95ea) DVDA21C

Order online at www.ShopJBS.org or call 1-800-342-6491
To order extra copies of this book, go to ShopJBS.org or call 1-800-342-6491
How Can I Make a Difference?

GETTING STARTED IS AS EASY AS 1,2,3

1. Sign up for JBS news and action alerts
   - Stay informed with free content
   - Visit www.JBS.org/e-newsletter to sign up now

2. Contact your elected representatives
   - Local, state, and federal officials represent you
   - Visit www.JBS.org/act-now for contact information

3. Join The John Birch Society
   - National concerted action multiplies your impact
   - Visit www.JBS.org/join to apply for membership today

The John Birch Society

P.O. Box 8040
Appleton, WI 54912-8040
(920) 749-3780 • JBS.org

“Less government, more responsibility, and — with God’s help — a better world.”