



## Nullify Unconstitutional Wars With Oklahoma Bill SB 2004

Members of the Oklahoma Legislature are seeking to enact legislation nullifying unconstitutional federal deployments of the Oklahoma National Guard.

Senate Bill 2004 ([SB 2004](#)), titled the “Defend the Guard Act,” is sponsored by Senator Dusty Deever (R-Elgin). It would prevent combat deployments of the Oklahoma National Guard by the federal government in the absence of a congressional declaration of war or another constitutional reason in accordance with [Article I, Section 8, Clauses 11 and 15](#), of the U.S. Constitution. (*Deever previously introduced Senate Bill 188 [SB 188] in the 2025 session.*)

According to the [Tenth Amendment Center](#), more than 1.1 million National Guard troops may have been sent to foreign conflicts since 2001, and 45 percent of the total U.S. forces sent to Iraq and Afghanistan have been National Guard or Reserve troops. If Oklahoma and other states prohibit unconstitutional National Guard deployments, the federal government’s participation in these foreign conflicts would be severely hampered, therefore limiting the federal government’s ability to further entangle the U.S. in any undeclared wars overseas.

Such a limitation on the federal government’s ability to carry out an internationalist and interventionist foreign policy would be consistent with the wisdom of the Founding Fathers. In his [1796 Farewell Address](#), President George Washington affirmed, “It is our true policy to steer clear of permanent alliances with any portion of the foreign world.” President Thomas Jefferson reiterated the same policy in his [first inaugural address](#), advocating for “peace, commerce, and honest friendship with all nations, entangling alliances with none.”

SB 2004 is an excellent application of [Article VI](#) of the U.S. Constitution, [which states](#), “This Constitution, and the

# The John Birch Society

Author: [Peter Rykowski](#)

Date: January 26, 2026



---

Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof ... shall be the supreme Law of the Land.”

**Since the federal government’s engagement in endless, undeclared wars — subversion going back [decades](#) — violates the U.S. Constitution, it cannot be considered “in Pursuance thereof” and, thus, is not “the supreme Law of the Land.”** Unfortunately, over the last several decades, thousands of unconstitutional laws on the federal, state, and even local levels have been created and enforced.

Because of this, state legislatures have a duty to [rein in unconstitutional wars](#) and robustly enforce the Constitution and only those laws and actions “in Pursuance thereof.” SB 2004 is an excellent model for other states to follow.

**Urge your state representative and senator to support SB 2004 and to push back against all other unconstitutional laws at every level of government.**

How States Can Rein in Unconstitutional Wars